

Mining Industry Council of Missouri

P.O. Box 725 • 101 E. High - Suite 200 • Jefferson City, MO 65102 • (573) 635-7308

September 9, 2004

RECEIVED

SEP 1 0 2004

Mr. Larry Coen, Director MO Department of Natural Resources Land Reclamation Program P.O. Box 176 Jefferson City, MO 65102-0176

MISSOURI LAND
RECLAMATION COMMISSION

RE: Proposed Rule 10 CSR 40-10.020

Permit Application Requirements

Dear Larry:

I appreciate the opportunity to submit the following comments on behalf of the Mining Industry Council of Missouri. MIC also appreciates the opportunity to work with staff and other interested parties in the work group process in developing the set of draft regulations that were preliminarily approved by the Commission for submission as proposed rules.

During informal discussions at the Commission meeting at which the Commission approved the draft rules for submission as proposed rules, we raised one concern regarding the required notice to adjacent landowners under 10 CSR 40-10.020 (2) (I) (1) (B). The proposed rule requires notice to be sent to the last known addresses of all record landowners of continuous real property or real property located adjacent to the property line of the property where the proposed mine plan area is located. We had previously raised the concern that this is inconsistent with the statutory requirement provided in Section 444.772.10 where the statute reads: "The operator shall also send notice of intent to operate a surface mine by the last known addresses of all record landowners of certified mail to ... continuous real property or real property located adjacent to the proposed mine plan area." The requirement under the proposed rule appears to, in many cases, dramatically increase the number of notices as well as go beyond the specific legislative intent. We would recommend that (B) be amended to delete from that subsection the words "property line of the property where the" and "is located" so

that the subsection is identical to the statutory language under 444.772, Subsection 10.

We would be glad to visit with the Commission or staff should there be any questions or comments.

I also respectfully request the opportunity to address the Commission at the Public Hearing on November 17, 2004.

Sincerely

Randy J. Scherr Executive Director

RJS:rmw

HENDREN AND ANDRAE, L.L.C. ATTORNEYS AT LAW

RICHARD S. BROWNLEE III
MICHAEL A. DALLMEYER
DOUGLAS L. VAN CAMP
JOHN W. KUEBLER
SARA C. MICHAEL
RODNEY D. GRAY
SHANE L. FARROW
KEITH A. WENZEL
KELLIE R. BERTELS
DANIEL W. FOLLETT
CARLD. COLLINS

JOHN W. NAEVE

P.O. BOX 1069 JEFFERSON CITY, MISSOURI 65102-1069

> www.hendrenandrae.com (573) 636-8135 (573) 636-5226 (Facsimile)

OFFICE LOCATION
221 BOLIVAR STREET, SUITE 300
JEFFERSON CITY, MO 65101
HENRY ANDRAE (Retired)
JOHN H. HENDREN (1907-1988)
E-MAIL

richardb@hendrenandrae.com

October 1, 2004

RECEIVED

Mr. Larry Coen, Director Land Reclamation Program Missouri Department of Natural Resources P.O. Box 176 Jefferson City, MO 65102-0176 OCT 4 2004

MISSOURI LAND
RECLAMATION COMMISSION

Re: Division 40 – Land Reclamation Commission Chapter 10 – Permit and Performance Requirements for Industrial Mineral Open Pit and In-Stream Sand and Gravel Operations – 10 CSR 40-10.020 Permit Application Requirements

Dear Mr. Coen:

Our law firm is counsel for the Missouri Limestone Producers Association and we are offering our comments to the following proposed regulations published in the Missouri Register on September 1, 2004.

- 1. 10 CSR 40-10.020 (2) (E) 2.A.
- 2. 10 CSR 40-10.020 (2) (I) 1.B.

Those regulations separately require an applicant to list in the application and send notice to all record landowners of real property contiguous or adjacent to the property line of the property where the proposed mine plan area is located.

The controlling statues is contained in Section 444.772 VAMS, and that section states that personal notice shall be sent to the last known addresses of all record landowners of contiguous real property or real property located adjacent to the proposed mine plan area.

Mr. Larry Coen October 1, 2004 Page 2

Obviously, the proposed regulation requires notice that is beyond that required by the Missouri Statute and is therefore illegal. The regulation should exactly mirror the statue.

Very truly yours,

HENDREN AND ANDRAE, LLC

Rill S. Browlen

Richard S. Brownlee III

RSB:sa

Cc: Steve Rudloff